AMENDMENT

In the Specification:

Please delete the original Figures 2, 6, 7A, 7B, 10 and 11 and replace them with the attached new Figures 2, 6, 7A, 7B, 10 and 11.

REMARKS

The Office Action indicated that the amendment filed May 9, 2003 (Paper #19) was not fully responsive to the Office Action dated November 4, 2002 (Paper #16) because Paper #19 did not address the deficiencies in the drawings noted in the Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review accompanying Paper No. 16. Briefly, the Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review indicated the following deficiencies in the drawings:

- (a) In Figure 10, Poor quality (half-tone)
- (b) In Figure 6, the top margin was not acceptable
- (c) In Figures 7A and 10, the lines numbers and letters were not uniformly thick and well defined.
- (d) In Figures 7A and 10, the numbers and reference characters were not plain and legible
- (e) In Figures 2, 7A, 7B and 11, the numbers letters and reference characters were not at least 1/8" in height

Applicants submit herewith replacement drawings which are believed to be in accordance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.84.

Applicants respectfully request entry of the new drawings to the specification and favorable consideration of this application.

Canji, Inc.

3525 John Hopkins Court San Diego, CA 92121 Telephone: 858-646-5955

Facsimile: 858-452-4945

Respectfully submitted,

Richard B. Murphy Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 35,296

Dated:

January 36, 2004